Activation of Methane and Ethane and Their Selective Oxidation to the Alcohols in Protic Media

Ayusman Sen,* Mark A. Benvenuto, Minren Lin, Alan C. Hutson, and Naomi Basickes

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry. The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Received September 13. 1993*

Abstract: The selective oxidation of methane and ethane to the alcohols in solvents ranging from strong acids to neutral aqueous medium has been studied. In 98% sulfuric acid, methane is oxidized to CH3OSO3H by a variety of le- and $2e^{-}$ oxidants, such as $S_2O_8^{2-}$, Ce(IV), Pd(II), and Hg(II). In the case of ethane, the observed products are CH₃OSO₃H and HO₃SCH₂CH₂OSO₃H. These oxidations appear to proceed through a series of electron-transfer steps involving the intermediacy of radicals and carbocations. We have determined the ratio of rate constants for methane versus methanol oxidation by the Pt(II) ion in water and an average value of 0.17(2) was obtained. The similarity in rate constants for methane and methanol oxidations under such mild conditions is remarkable. Moving to substrates with C-H bonds somewhat weaker than that in methane results in actual reversal of commonly observed selectivity. As an example, we have observed the exclusive oxidation of the methyl group in ethanol resulting in the formation of 1,2-ethanediol as the predominant product. In addition, when ethane was included as a substrate, the relative rate of C-H bond activation by the Pt(II) ion *decreased* in the order $H-CH_2CH_3 > H-CH_2CH_2OH > H-CH(OH)CH_3$. Another example of unusual selectivity was the observation of 1,3-propanediol as the predominant product in the oxidation of 1-propanol by the Pt(II) ion in water.

In this paper, we delineate the scope and the limitations of the activation of methane and ethane and their selective oxidation to the alcohols in protic media.¹ Methane is the most abundant and the least reactive member of the hydrocarbon family. Ethane comes second in both categories. Together, they constitute >95% of natural gas, with known reserves approaching that of petroleum.² A significant portion of the methane and ethane produced is not utilized because of the difficulty associated with the transportation of a flammable, low-boiling gas. Their possible use as automobile fuels is also limited by the intrinsic disadvantages of gaseous fuels, i.e., low-energy content per unit volume and the hazards associated with handling and distribution. Thus, the selective conversion of methane and ethane to more useful chemical products is of great practical interest.

The lack of reactivity of these two molecules stems from their unusually high bond energies (C-H bond energy of methane: 104 kcal/mol), and most reactions involving the homolysis of a C-H bond occur at fairly high temperatures or under photolytic conditions. Moreover, the selectivity in these reactions is usually low because of the subsequent reactions of the intermediate products which tend to be more reactive than the alkane itself. Using methane as an example, its C-H bond energy is 10 kcal/ mol higher than that in methanol. Therefore, unless methanol can be removed as soon as it is formed, any oxidation procedure that involves hydrogen-atom abstraction from the substrate C-H bond would normally (vide infra) cause rapid overoxidation of methanol. The radical initiated chlorination of methane invariably leads to multiple chlorinations³ (chlorination, however, is more specific in the presence of superacids⁴). In order to achieve the selective functionalization of methane and ethane, it is therefore necessary to design a pathway that does not involve C-H bond homolysis as one of the steps.

Our approach⁵ to the problem builds upon earlier work by Shilov and co-workers⁶ and is schematically outlined in eq 1. The critical C-H bond cleavage step involves attack by an electrophilic metal ion. This is followed by a 2e⁻ oxidation of the bound alkyl group by the metal in the presence of a nucleophile. The net result is the formation of a functionalized alkyl compound together with a reduced metal species. The reoxidation of the metal by a cooxidant completes the cycle and the system becomes catalytic in the metal. Using this approach, we had earlier observed turnovers in the Pd(II) catalyzed oxidation of methane by hydrogen peroxide in trifluoroacetic acid at 90 °C.5a,b The Co-(III) ion has also been demonstrated to be effective.⁷ The observed organic product in each case was the ester, CF₃CO₂CH₃, a readily hydrolyzable derivative of methanol. Because of the electronwithdrawing nature of the CF₃CO₂ substituent, the C-H bonds of the methyl group in the ester are less susceptible to electrophilic attack than those in the parent alcohol. Thus a key role of the solvent is to bind the methanol formed as the ester, thereby retarding its further oxidation.^{5a,b}

(Ox = 2e⁻ oxidant, Nu = Nucleophile)

Results and Discussion

A. C-H Activation in Sulfuric Acid Medium. Recently, a new high-yield system for the oxidation of methane to methanol

[•] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*. January 1, 1994. (1) General reviews on the problem of C-H activation and functionalization, especially in solution: (a) Selective Hydrocarbon Oxidation and Function-alization; Davies, J. A., Watson, P. L., Greenberg, A., Liebman, J. F., Eds.; WCH: New York, 1990. (b) Activation and Functionalization of Alkanes;
Hill, C. L., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1989.
(2) (a) Masters, C. D.; Root, D. H.; Attanasi, E. D. Science 1991, 253, 146.

⁽b) Starr, C.; Searl, M. F.; Alpert, S. Science 1992, 256, 981

⁽³⁾ March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry; Wiley: New York, 1985; p 620 and references therein.

⁽⁴⁾ Olah, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 422.

^{(5) (}a) Kao, L.-C.; Hutson, A. C.; Sen, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 700. (b) Sen, A. Platinum Metals Rev. 1991, 35, 126. (c) Kao, L.-C.; Sen, A. New J. Chem. 1991, 15, 575. (d) Sen, A.; Lin, M.; Kao, L.-C.; Hutson, A. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6385. (e) Sen, A.; Lin, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 508. (f) Kao, L.-C.; Sen, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1242.

⁽⁶⁾ Shilov, A. E. Activation of Saturated Hydrocarbons by Transition Metal Complexes; D. Reidel: Dordrecht, 1984; Chapter V. (b) Shilov, A. E. In Activation and Functionalization of Alkanes; Hill, C. L., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1989; p 1. (7) Vargaftik, M. N.; Stolarov, I. P.; Moiseev, I. I. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.

Commun. 1990, 1049.

A: CH3HgOSO3H

B: CH₃OSO₃H



Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectrum obtained after the following reaction conditions: CH₄ (1000 psi), HgSO₄ (0.2 mmol), D₂SO₄ (2 mL), 150 °C, 24 h.

derivatives was reported.⁸ The system consists of Hg(II) ion in 100% sulfuric acid and operates at 180 °C. A mechanistic scenario closely analogous to that shown in eq 1 was proposed with the Hg(II) ion acting as the electrophile and an $1e^-$ oxidant and sulfuric acid serving both as the nucleophile and the reoxidant. As in our system, further oxidation of methanol was prevented by its conversion to the ester, CH₃OSO₃H. However, despite the superficial similarity between the two systems, there appear to be several key differences. For example, we have observed that a number of unrelated $1e^-$ and $2e^-$ oxidants that are highly unlikely to activate methane through the above pathway will also oxidize it to CH₃OSO₃H in this solvent system. Moreover, when ethane is used as the substrate, C-C bond cleavage occurs to a significant extent.

The formation of CH₃OSO₃H was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy when methane at 1000 psi was contacted at 150-180 °C with a 0.1 M solution of any one of the following oxidants in 98% sulfuric acid (yield based on 2e-oxidation in 24 h): K.S₂O₈ (150 °C, 25%; 180 °C, 39%), Ce(SO₄)₂ (150 °C, 24%; 180 °C, 38%), PdSO₄ (180 °C, 95%), and HgSO₄ (150 °C, 28% (+64% CH₃HgOSO₃H); 180 °C, 690%). CH₃SO₃H was formed as a minor side-product in several instances; however, control experiments using both HgSO₄ and $K_2S_2O_8$ indicated that it was not converted to CH₃OSO₃H under the reaction conditions. When HgSO4 was present, CH3HgOSO3H was observed as an additional product. This organometallic species became the predominant or even the exclusive product when the reaction was carried out at lower temperatures. For example, when the reaction was run at 150 °C for 24 h, the observed products (yield relative to Hg) were CH₃OSO₃H (14%) and CH₃HgOSO₃H (64%) (see Figure 1). CH₃HgOSO₃H, in turn, was found to generate CH₃OSO₃H on heating to 180 °C. While these observations are certainly consistent with the mechanism outlined in eq 1, alternative C-H activation and oxidation pathways cannot be ruled out since other le-and 2e-oxidants gave comparable stoichiometric yields (i.e., under conditions where mercury is not reoxidized by sulfuric acid). $K_2S_2O_8$ is an interesting case in point. We had earlier demonstrated that in water at 110 °C, SO₄- (generated from $S_2O_8^{2-}$) abstracts a hydrogen atom from methane or ethane to form the corresponding alkyl radical which is then converted to, inter alia, the alcohol and the sulfate.9 In the presence of added

Scheme 1

$$CH_{4} \xrightarrow{-e} [CH_{4}^{++}] \xrightarrow{-H^{+}} CH_{3}^{+} \xrightarrow{-e} CH_{3}^{+}$$
$$-Hg^{1} Hg^{1} \qquad \int OSO_{3}H$$
$$CH_{3}-Hg^{11} \qquad CH_{3}OSO_{3}H$$



carbon monoxide, this radical is trapped efficiently, and the resultant acyl radical is ultimately converted to a carboxylic acid. It is therefore possible that, as shown in Scheme 1, the role of all the oxidants in sulfuric acid was to generate a methyl radical from methane by outer-sphere electron transfer followed by proton loss. The methyl radical was eventually converted to CH₃OSO₃H. In the special case of Hg(II) as the oxidant, CH₃HgOSO₃H was formed by (reversible) recombination of methyl and Hg(I) radicals. Consistent with this mechanism was our failure to synthesize CH₃HgOSO₃H in sulfuric acid from CH₃OSO₃H and either Hg(II) or Hg(I) sulfate even under conditions where CH₃-HgOSO₃H can be made from methane and is stable (see above). It may also be noted that the proposed mechanism is similar to those suggested for methane monooxygenase where both the methyl radical and the methyl cation, formed by electron transfers to high-valent iron-oxo species, have been implicated as intermediates.10

The mechanistic scenario outlined above finds support in the reactivity pattern observed with ethane. The radical cation formed from ethane by electron transfer would be expected to fragment some of the time by C-C cleavage¹¹ (Scheme 1). The direct precedent for such a step is Olah's observation of CH₃NO₂ as the principal product in the reaction of ethane with NO₂+PF₆⁻¹²

⁽⁸⁾ Periana, R. A.; Taube, D. J.; Evitt, E. R.; Löffler, D. G.; Wentrcek, P. R.; Voss, G.; Masuda, T. *Science* 1993, 259, 340. There is an earlier report on a similar system involving oxidation of methane by SO₃ catalyzed by group IIB metal ions, including Hg^{II}, see: Snyder, J. C.; Grosse, A. V. U.S. Patent 2,493,038 (1950). We thank a referee for the citation.

⁽⁹⁾ Lin, M.; Sen, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 892.



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectrum obtained after the following reaction conditions: top spectrum C₂H₆ (550 psi), HgSO₄ (0.1 mmol), D₂SO₄ (1 mL), 180 °C, 24 h; bottom spectrum C₂H₆ (550 psi), K₂S₂O₈ (0.1 mmol), D₂SO₄ (1 mL), 180 °C, 24 h. For calibration, a capillary containing a mixture of D₂O and DMSO was inserted into the NMR tube.

Scheme 2



Indeed, the formation of CH₃OSO₃H was observed (up to 25% yield relative to oxidant in 24 h) when ethane at 550 psi was contacted at 150-180 °C with a 0.1 M solution of any one of the following oxidants in 98% sulfuric acid: $K_2S_2O_8$, $Ce(SO_4)_2$, and HgSO₄ (see Figure 2). Trace amounts of CH_3CO_2H were also observed; however, control experiments showed that CH₃OSO₃H was not derived from CH₃CO₂H through a decarboxylation step. The only other product observed in the reaction of ethane was HO₃SCH₂CH₂OSO₃H (see Figure 2). This compound appears to be derived from the initially formed C₂H₅OSO₃H. Heating $C_2H_5OSO_3H$ in sulfuric acid for as little as 15 min at 180 °C resulted in its conversion to HO₃SCH₂CH₂OSO₃H. Scheme 2 shows two possible pathways for this transformation. Adding CH₃¹³CH₂OH to sulfuric acid at ambient temperature resulted in the immediate formation of CH₃¹³CH₂OSO₃H. Heating CH₃¹³CH₂OSO₃H in sulfuric acid at 125 °C for 30 min resulted in the formation of C₂H₃OSO₃H where the labeled carbon was distributed equally between the the two ends of the ethyl group. Further heating at 180 °C for 15 min caused the quantitative transformation to HO₃SCH₂CH₂OSO₃H where the labeled carbon remained distributed equally between the two ends of the molecule. The scrambling of the labeled carbon presumably occurred through the intermediacy of either the ethyl cation (via

rapid 1,2-H shift) or ethylene or both. The possible role of ethylene in the conversion of C2H3OSO3H to HO3SCH2CH2OSO3H was supported by the observation of the formation of HO₃SCH₂- CH_2OSO_3H when 1 atm of ethylene was heated at 180 °C in the presence of sulfuric acid.

It is clear from the above discussion that, at least with the oxidants studied, a significant pathway for the oxidation of methane and ethane in sulfuric acid involved discrete outer-sphere electron-transfer steps. An additional parallel pathway involving direct electrophilic attack on the substrate was still possible for some oxidants. In the latter case, for ethane, the observed formation of CH₃OSO₃H would imply a direct attack on the C-C bond by the incoming electrophile (e.g., Hg(II) or HO₃-SO⁺). However, it is difficult to see, given its large size, how a Hg(II) ion could approach the C-C bond without first encountering and activating a C-H bond. On the other hand, it is known that an le-oxidation of ethane leads to a substantial weakening of the C-C bond (from 90 to 45-37 kcal/mol) resulting in fragmentation to $CH_3 + CH_3 + .11$ In preliminary experiments, the formation of CH₃OSO₃H was also observed when propane was used as the substrate. In general, however, the use of higher alkanes led to complex mixtures of products as well as carbonaceous residues.

It is noteworthy that **all** the oxidants exhibited unusually high selectivity for methane versus CH3OSO3H oxidation. Using calculations similar to that described in the section on C-H activation in aqueous medium and assuming that the steadystate concentration of CH₃OSO₃H was greater or equal to that observed at the end of a 24-h reaction period, the rate constant for the oxidation of methane was found to be equal to or significantly greater than that for CH₃OSO₃H oxidation.¹³ In addition, for both HgSO4 and K2S2O8, very little oxidation of added ¹³CH₃OSO₃H was observed under reaction conditions

^{(10) (}a) Lee, S.-K.; Nesheim, J. C.; Lipscomb, J. D. J. Biol. Chem. 1993, 268, 21569. (b) Priestley, N. D.; Floss, H. G.; Froland, W. A.; Lipscomb, J. D.; Williams, P. G.; Morimoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7561. (c) Fox, B. G.; Borneman, J. G.; Wackectt, L. P.; Lipscomb, J. D. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 6419. (d) Deighton, N.; Podmore, I. D.; Symons, M. C. R.; Wikins, P. C.; Dalton, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1086. (e) Green, J.; Dalton, H. J. Biol. Chem. 1989, 264, 17698. (f) Ruzicka, F.; Huang, D. S.; Donnelly, M. I.; Frey, P. A. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 1696.
 (11) Lathan, W. A.; Curtiss, L. A.; Pople, J. A. Mol. Phys. 1971, 22, 1081.

⁽¹²⁾ Olah, G. A.; Lin, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1259.

⁽¹³⁾ Due to the lack of appropriate solubility data for methane, a number of crude assumptions have been made. These are (a) that the solubility of methane in 98% sulfuric acid is similar to that in water and (b) that the solubility at 180 °C is similar to that at 100 °C. The solubility at 180 °C will almost certainly be substantially lower than at 100 °C. In addition, for all or most of the oxidants, the steady-state concentration of CH3OSO3H may be much greater than that observed at the end of the 24 h reaction period. For these two reasons the actual ratio of methane to CH3OSO3H oxidation rate constants at 180 °C is almost certainly much higher than what our data indicate.

employed for the oxidation of methane. At first glance, the unusual selectivity would appear to contradict any mechanism involving the formation of free radicals since the homolytic bond strength of methane exceeds that of methanol by 10 kcal/mol. However, the presence of an electron-withdrawing substituent makes the methanol C-H bonds less susceptible to both electrophilic attack and H-atom abstraction. The latter phenomenon can be accounted by the destabilizing inductive effect of the substituent on the electron-deficient trivalent carbon. The following C-H bond-energy data¹⁴ serve to illustrate the point: H-CH₂CH₃, 100.3 kcal/mol; H-CH₂CF₃, 106.7 kcal/mol; H-CH₂OH, 94 kcal/mol; and H-CH₂OCOC₆H₅, 100.2 kcal/ mol. Thus, given that the -OSO₃H group is significantly more electron withdrawing than the -OCOC₆H₅ group (cf. HOSO₃H is a strong acid while $HOCOC_6H_5$ is quite weak), the bond energy of H-CH₂OSO₃H may be comparable to that of methane. The protonation of the oxygen lone pairs in sulfuric acid would, of course, further augment the electron-withdrawing ability of the sulfate functionality.

B. C-H Activation in Aqueous Medium. The great advantage of using a strong acid as solvent is that the alcohol formed is protected either as the ester^{5a,b,7,8} or by protonation.⁴ However, from a practical as well as environmental standpoint, it is most desirable that the oxidations be carried out in an innocuous solvent. Water is ideal in this respect. As demonstrated by us^{5d-f} and others, 6.15.16 in aqueous medium, the Pt(II) ion will activate the C-H bonds of various substrates including alkanes, resulting in their oxidation, through the electrophilic pathway shown in eq 1. Of note is the lack of products derived from C-C cleavage as was observed in sulfuric acid. A problem associated with alkane oxidations in water is that the primary product, alcohol, is not protected and is therefore very susceptible to overoxidation. Thus, it is of some importance to determine the relative rates of methane versus methanol oxidation by the Pt(II) ion in water.

Figure 3 summarizes our results on the Pt(II) oxidation of methane versus methanol. The function of the added Pt(IV) species, Na₂PtCl₆, was to act as an oxidant and prevent the reduction of Pt(II) to metallic Pt.^{5d-f,15} The latter is known to catalyze the rapid oxidation of alcohols.5d.e.17 Note that a separate experiment indicated that Na₂PtCl₆ was unreactive toward methane. Under conditions where the pressure (and hence the concentration) of methane was kept constant, a steady-state concentration of methanol was reached both in the presence and absence of initially added methanol. Under these reaction conditions, $d[CH_3OH]/dt = 0 = k_1[Pt(II)][CH_4] - k_2[Pt-$ (II)][CH₃OH]; therefore, the ratio of methane to methanol oxidation rate constants $(k_1/k_2) = [CH_3OH]/[CH_4]$. From the data in Figure 3, an average value of 0.17(2) was obtained. Since the formation of metallic Pt cannot be rigorously excluded, the above value represents the *lower* limit for the reactivity of Pt(II). It should be noted that the results shown in Figure 3 are not vitiated by the loss of oxidizing ability of the Pt(II)/Pt(IV) combination after long reaction times. For example, when methanol alone was used as the substrate, its concentration dropped well below the steady-state concentrations shown in Figure 3. When after 160 h methane was added to the system, the concentration of methanol increased again and approached the expected steady-state concentration. Finally, the source of oxygen in the methane to methanol transformation appears to be water since the oxidation was found to occur even in the absence of added O₂.



Figure 3. Plots of CH₃OH formation from CH₄ versus time obtained under the following reaction conditions: CH4 (600 or 1200 psi), O2 (200 psi), CH3OH (0 or 0.013 M), Na2PtCl4 (0.1 mmol), Na2PtCl6 (0.1 mmol), and D₂O (5 mL), 100-105 °C.

It is indeed very remarkable that the Pt(II) ion in water will oxidize methane and methanol at similar rates under such mild conditions. The observed similarity in rates is even more striking because of the expected much higher binding ability of methanol to the Pt(II) center. In contrast, given that the C-H bond energy of methane is 10 kcal/mol higher than that in methanol, any oxidation procedure that involves hydrogen-atom abstraction from the substrate C-H bond would have resulted in up to six orders of magnitude difference in rate constants for methane and methanol oxidations. Thus, our results on relative oxidation rates further support the electrophilic C-H activation mechanism for alkane oxidations by Pt(II).

Moving to substrates with C-H bonds somewhat weaker than that in methane results in actual reversal of commonly observed selectivity. For example, although the methyl C-H bonds in ethanol are significantly stronger than those in the methylene group, Bercaw and Labinger observed that the former group was oxidized at a higher rate by the Pt(II)/Pt(IV) combination in water at 90 °C.15 Indeed, under conditions where the precipitation of metallic Pt (an excellent catalyst for the oxidation of the alcohol functionality^{5d,e,17}) was avoided, we have observed the exclusive oxidation of the methyl group in ethanol resulting in the formation of 1,2-ethanediol as the predominant product (along with a trace of 2-chloroethanol) (Figure 4). When both CH₃¹³CH₂OH and CH₃CH₃ were present as substrates (respective solution concentrations, 0.043 and 0.031 M), the products observed were 1,2-ethanediol that was predominantly unlabeled as well as unlabeled ethanol. The above set of experiments clearly shows that the relative rate of C-H bond activation by the Pt(II) ion decreased in the order $H-CH_2CH_3 > H-CH_2CH_2OH > H-CH_2OH > H-CH$ (OH)CH₃, i.e., an order that is exactly opposite of that expected on the basis homolytic C-H bond energies. On a practical level, our observations show that the direct conversion of ethane to 1,2-ethanediol was possible.

A final example of unusual selectivity involves 1-propanol where by far the strongest C-H bonds belong to the methyl terminus. As shown in Figure 5, the oxidation of 1-propanol by the Pt-(II)/Pt(IV) combination led to the formation of 1,3-propanediol as the predominant product. In an earlier study, Bercaw and Labinger had estimated that the ratio of attack on the α , β , and γ C-H bonds of 1-propanol by the same metal ion combination was 2:3:3.^{15b} It is possible that the significantly lower selectivity reported by them was due to the presence of metallic platinum which would favor the oxidation of α C–H bonds in particular^{5d,e,17} (see Experimental Section).

While the selectivity with respect to C-H activation by the Pt(II) ion in aqueous medium is without precedent, in some instances it is still short of what is desirable from a practical standpoint. For example, in the case of selective oxidation of

⁽¹⁴⁾ CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics; Lide, D. R., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1991; pp 9-114.

 ^{(15) (}a) Labinger, J. A.; Herring, A. M.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 (190, 1/2, 5628, (b) Labinger, J. A.; Herring, A. M.; Lyon, D. K.; Luinstra, G. A.; Bercaw, J. E.; Horváth, I. T.; Eller, K. Organometallics 1993, 12, 895.
 (16) Horváth, I. T.; Cook, R. A.; Miller, J. M.; Kiss, G. Organometallics

^{1993. 12. 8.}

^{(17) (}a) Nicoletti, J. W.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93, 759. (b) Cameron, R. E.; Bocarsly, A. B. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2910.



Figure 4. ¹H NMR spectrum obtained after the following reaction conditions: CH₃CH₂OH (0.04 mmol), Na₂PtCl₄ (0.05 mmol), Na₂PtCl₆ (0.05 mmol), D₂O (0.6 mL), 85 °C, 6 days.



Figure 5. ¹H NMR spectrum obtained after the following reaction conditions: CH₃CH₂CH₂OH (0.21 mmol), K₂PtCl₄ (0.077 mmol), K₂PtCl₆ (0.115 mmol), D₂O (1 mL), 115 °C, 1 days.

methane to methanol, as Figure 3 shows, the maximum concentration of methanol that is attainable in solution is quite low. Clearly, a system that shows an even higher methane to methanol oxidation selectivity is required.

Experimental Section

General Methods. The reagents $K_2S_2O_8$ (Fischer Scientific), HgSO₄ (Aldrich), and Ce(SO₄)₂ (Aldrich) were used as received. Palladium and platinum salts were obtained from Johnson Matthey and were used as received. Methane and ethane were purchased from Matheson. The solvents D_2SO_4 (98 wt%, Aldrich) and D_2O (99.9% D, Aldrich) were also used as received. Reaction vessels were Parr general purpose bombs. Reaction products were identified by their ¹H NMR spectra recorded on a Brucker AM 300 FT-NMR spectrometer using solvent reference at the appropriate frequency, or an external standard consisting of a capillary tube containing 1 μ L of DMSO in 60 μ L of D_2O used for lock, reference, and as an integration standard when sulfuric acid was used as the solvent.

Caution: (a) Appropriate precautions should be taken while working with gases under high pressures. Particular attention should be paid to flammability limits of gas mixtures. (b) The presence of metallic Pt has an adverse effect on selectivity in the oxidations by Pt(II) ion, and aqueous solutions of platinum salts should be carefully filtered prior to use. In addition, since light induces the autocatalytic decomposition of platinum salts to metallic Pt,^{17b} the reactions should be carried out in the absence of light.

Methane Oxidation in Sulfuric Acid. Dry oxidant ($K_2S_2O_8$, HgSO₄, Ce(SO₄)₂, or PdSO₄, 0.1 mmol) was added to a glass scintillation vial, followed by the addition of 1.0 mL of D₂SO₄. The vial was then inserted into a Parr high pressure reactor and the reactor sealed. The reactor was pressurized to 1000 psi with CH₄ and then immersed in an oil bath heated to 180 °C. After 24 h, the reactor was cooled in an ice bath for approximately 30 min, depressurized, and opened. A liquid sample was taken from the scintillation vial and examined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The predominant product of the oxidation of methane was CH₃OSO₃H (δ , ppm 3.90, (s)).

Activation of Methane and Ethane

A similar reaction using HgSO₄ (0.1 mmol) was conducted at 150 °C for 24 h. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the major products were CH₃HgOSO₃H (δ , ppm 1.32 (s), ²J(Hg-H) = 270.7 Hz) and CH₃-OSO₃H. The yields of these two products were 64% and 14%, respectively, based on the Hg salt. After heating the same sample at 180 °C for 2 h, the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the CH₃HgOSO₃H had converted to CH₃OSO₃H.

Oxidation of Methane in the Presence of 13 CH₃OSO₃H. 13 CH₃OH (30 μ L) was added to a glass scintillation vial containing HgSO₄ (0.2 mmol) in 2 mL of H₂SO₄. 13 CH₃OH was instantly converted to 13 CH₃OSO₃H, the concentration of which was measured by integration of the 14 H NMR spectrum versus the capillary tube external standard. Then, following the previous procedure, the methane oxidation experiment was carried out at 165 °C for 20 h. The concentration of 13 CH₃OSO₃H (mathematication experiment was carried out at 165 °C for 20 h. The concentration products of methane, CH₃OSO₃H (0.084 mmol) and CH₃HgOSO₃H (0.12 mmol), were formed. Very little oxidation of added 13 CH₃OSO₃H was also observed in the oxidation of methane by K₂S₂O₈.

Ethane Oxidation in Sulfuric Acid. The experiments was conducted in a manner analogous to that described for methane, except that 550 psi of CH_3CH_3 was substituted for CH_4 . The major oxidative products of ethane were CH_3OSO_3H and $HO_3SCH_2CH_2OSO_3H$ as shown in Figure 2.

Conversion of CH₃CH₂OSO₃H to HO₃SCH₂CH₂OSO₃H. Ethyl sulfate (4 μ L) was added to an NMR tube containing 0.5 mL of H₂SO₄, and the tube was sealed with a rubber septum. After heating at 180 °C for 15 min, the sample was cooled to room temperature. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that CH₃CH₂OSO₃H was quantitatively converted to HO₃SCH₂CH₂OSO₃H (δ , ppm 4.45 (t), ³J(H,H) = 5.3 Hz, (-CH₂O-); 3.50 (t), ³J(H,H) = 5.3 Hz, (-CH₂S-).

Oxidation of CH₃¹³CH₂OH in H₂SO₄. CH₃¹³CH₂OH (20 μ L) was added to 0.5 mL of H₂SO₄ in an NMR tube which was sealed with a rubber septum. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that CH₃¹³CH₂OH was quantitatively converted to CH₃¹³CH₂OSO₃H (δ , ppm 4.25 (dq), ¹J(C,H) = 156.1 Hz, ³J(H,H) = 7.8 Hz; 1.18 (dt), ²J(C,H) = 4.5 Hz).

After heating the sample at 125 °C for 30 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the ¹³C-carbon was distributed equally between the two positions (¹³CH₃CH₂OSO₃H, δ , ppm 1.18 (dt), ¹J(C,H) = 129.5 Hz). After heating the sample at 180 °C for 15 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that HO₃S¹³CH₂CH₂OSO₃H and HO₃SCH₂¹³CH₂OSO₃H were formed in equal amounts (-S¹³CH₂CH₂-, ¹J(C,H) = 141.0 Hz, ²J(C,H) = 2.3 Hz; -CH₂¹³CH₂O-, ¹J(C,H) = 157.0 Hz, ²J(C,H) = 3.8 Hz).

Oxidation of Ethanol to Ethylene Glycol by Pt(II) and Pt(IV). Ethanol ($2.5 \ \mu$ L, 0.043 mmol) was added to 0.6 mL of D₂O containing Na₂PtCl₄ (0.05 mmol) and Na₂PtCl₆ (0.05 mmol) in a NMR tube. The solution was heated at 85 °C for 6 days. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the major oxidation product of ethanol was HOCH₂CH₂OH along with a trace amount of ClCH₂CH₂OH (Figure 4).

Oxidation of Ethane by Pt(II) and Pt(IV) in the Presence of $CH_3^{13}CH_2OH$. $CH_3^{13}CH_2OH$ (10 μ L, 0.172 mmol) was added to 4 mL of D₂O containing K₂PtCl₄ (0.1 mmol) and Na₂PtCl₆ (0.08 mmol) in a glass scintillation vial. The vial was then inserted into a Parr high-pressure reactor, and the reactor was sealed. The reactor was then pressurized with 550 psi of C₂H₆ and 100 psi of O₂ and heated at 100 °C for 20 h. At the end of this period, the ¹H NMR spectrum revealed that the predominant oxidation products were HOCH₂CH₂OH (0.012 mmol) and CH₃CH₂OH (0.036 mmol).

Oxidation of 1-Propanol to 1,3-Propanediol by Pt(II) and Pt(IV). Propanol (17 μ L) was added to 1 mL of D₂O containing 0.0319 g of K₂PtCl₄ (0.077 mmol) and 0.0755 g of K₂PtCl₆ (0.115 mmol) in an NMR tube. The tube was evacuated, sealed, and heated at 115 °C in an oil bath for 24 h. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the major oxidation product of 1-propanol was 1,3-propanediol (δ , ppm 3.30, 4H, t, ³J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz); 1.41, 2H, q, ³J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz) (Figure 5).

Relative Rates of CH₄ vs CH₃OH Oxidation by Pt(II). Na₂PtCl₄ (0.1 mmol) and Na₂PtCl₆ (0.1 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of 0.013 M ¹³CH₃OH in D₂O in a glass container. The glass container was placed in a high-pressure bomb which was pressurized to 600 psi with methane and then pressurized with oxygen to a total of 800 psi. The contents were stirred and heated at 100–105 °C. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the concentration of ¹³CH₃OH decreased, while that of CH₃OH (the oxidation product of methane) increased. The total amount of methanol was measured, and the results are plotted in Figure 3. Two similar reactions were conducted under 600 and 1200 psi of methane without adding ¹³CH₃OH. The amounts of CH₃OH formed were measured, and the results are also plotted in Figure 3.

Acknowledgment. This research was funded by the National Science Foundation (CHE-9209264) and the Gas Research Institute. We also thank the members of Flame for technical assistance and Johnson Matthey, Inc. for a generous loan of platinum salts.